

Nominations and Remuneration Committee Meeting

Date of Meeting	Thursday 10 October 2019
Paper Title	Assigned College Evaluation Reports 2019
Agenda Item	7
Paper Number	NRC1-D
Responsible Officer	Penny Davis, Board Secretary
Status	Appendices are Non-Disclosable under FOI(S)A S:25, Information Otherwise Accessible (Colleges are required to publish evaluation reports)
Action	For Information

1. Report Purpose

- 1.1** This report provides the Committee with an update on Assigned College progress with the annual cycle of evaluation/development reporting.

2. Recommendations

- 2.1** The Committee is asked to **note** the report.

3. Background

- 3.1** The Code of Good Governance requires (item D.23) that:

The board must keep its effectiveness under annual review and have in place a robust self-evaluation process. There should also be an externally facilitated evaluation of its effectiveness at least every three years. The board must send its self-evaluation (including an externally facilitated evaluation) and board development plan (including progress on previous year's plan) to its funding body and publish them online.

- 3.2** Assigned College Board Secretaries were contacted in April 2019 to request relevant the reports. At that stage, detailed reports for 2018-19 were not yet available, but some interim reports were provided which demonstrated that the colleges had compliant arrangements in place.

- 3.3** Self-evaluation reports have now been considered by the City of Glasgow College and Glasgow Kelvin College Boards and are provided for Members' information. Clyde College Board considered a proposal for self-evaluation at its June meeting; a report will be shared with the Committee in due course.

5. Risk Analysis

5.1 There are no risks directly associated with the report other than points relating to compliance outlined above under 3.1.

6. Legal Implications

6.1 There are no new legal implications arising from the report.

7. Resource Implications

7.1 There are no resource implications associated with the report.

8. Equalities Implications

8.1 No equalities implications have been identified in direct relation to this report.

9. Strategic Implications

9.1 There are no direct strategic implications of the report, which is for information.